

10(8)

Charleville
Bray.

Nov. 14. 81

My dear Gregory.

Thanks for your letter
of the 11th rec^d. to day.

I am glad you approved
my letter in the "Times".
I am not afraid of "rushing
into print" but I found
to much misapprehension
existing amongst sensible
men in London respecting
the doings of the Land Com-
mission, that I thought it
worth while to "print" the
Explanation which, in con-
sultation, I found to be
satisfactory to many minds.
As to the present time I
see nothing in the decisions
of the Court which ought to
blame landowners who
have acted justly by

Appears to refer
to Lillie's paper or Talbot
Jan. 1881

Land League
meetings.

Wed. night

My dear Gregory

I have your letter - both
manuscript and in print -
I do not feel at all braver
in respect to say that there
is but too much ground
for pure speculation -

Perhaps I am as much too
strongly optimistic as Helen
is to be pessimist, but
though I admit ^{that} the aspect
of things at the present mo-
ment is very critical, &
the outlook by no means
reassuring, my experience tells
me that the actual count
is never either so good or
so bad as the forecast made
respectively by those who take
the cheerful or the gloomy

Charleville

Bray

Nov. 16. 1880

My dear Gregory.

I am perfectly ashamed
at having left your letter
of the 10th so long without an
answer, but the truth is that
this Land Committee has
kept me so busy that I have
scarcely had a moment at
my disposal.

Very soon I saw that the ob-
ject of those who originated
the movement was simply
to fiddle with fancy plans
which do not really touch
the principles of the question.

I could not stultify my-
self by acquiescing in their
ridiculous operando, and
I am seen therefore obliged
to take the management
of the matter into my own

Report to Lowell

Mr. H. Mac Farlane
of W. F. Mac Farlane -
It is very good and
I have been interested
in it as an article of
the art of the day
and I am sure that
it is a success
and that he is
very active in
the work in
the future

to form a view of
our future through
I am not surprised
at the state of your
mind -

I do not have on
like to leave the present
to be occupied exclu-
sively by the very land-
lords on the one side
and Mr. Samuel of
on the other without

W. F. Mac Farlane
W. F. Mac Farlane

Private

Charleville

Bray

Nov. 4. 86

Dear Gregory -

Some of us who wish
to see the Land question
settled on a Liberal &
comprehensive basis
have formed ourselves
into a Committee in
Dublin - our endeavour
will be to hammer out
shape the different schemes
which have been proposed
from time to time & to
submit the difficulties
which surround the sub-
ject - e.g. - Peasants per-
petrations - the acquisition
by the Tenant of permanent
tenures short of fee simple -
"the three F's" - Longfield's scheme
are

Moncton-Lord 1864



Private

Quebec. March 3. 65

My dear Gregory.

I have just received
your note of Feb 8 in refer-
ence to Mr. Pesse.

I have as you know
very little in my power as
regards nationality, and
my practice since I have
been in Canada has been
not to interfere at all.

I am afraid I cannot
do anything for Mr. Pesse.

I have just established
a school of instruction
for Militia Officers in

1702
I hope and believe
you shall get militia
-bile which will believe
in a serious occurrence
in the vicinity of Suffield
a short distance where I thought
I might have to defend
Canada with a small
500 regular troops
+ am disappointed
and understood that
you were and hope you
exercise discretion as to
the necessity of showing
your opinion - send
some news from the
warrior. I am your
devoted
friend
Wm. H. H. H.

Mr William Henry Gregory

Charleville,
Finniskerry

Oct 2. 1861

My dear Henry. Thank you very much for your kind and useful letter. You will not expect me to pronounce any opinion at present on new information, indeed it will be most presumptuous & foolish of me to form one.

I may depend upon it I am going out to Canada perfectly free from prejudice of all sorts and determined, to the best of my power, to see and judge for myself before I